

Hood's Pills

Act on the liver and bowels, cure biliousness, constipation, morning sickness, headache, break up colds, relieve uncomfortable fullness after dinner. Painless cathartic. 25c.

Peptiron

Pills Ironize the blood, tone the stomach, aid digestion, and give restful sleep. Especially beneficial in nervousness and anemia. Chocolate-coated, pleasant to take. Two sizes: 50c. and \$1. Druggists or mail. C. L. HOOD CO., Lowell, Mass.

INVITED TO WEDDING.

Houston Girls Remembered by Alice Roosevelt.

Houston, Tex., Jan. 19.—Two Houston girls, Lila May and Ila K. Rogers, aged 11, twins, are in receipt of an invitation from Miss Alice Roosevelt to her wedding. The twins attracted the attention of President Roosevelt and Miss Roosevelt about eight years ago at a fair in Oklahoma. The children from their earliest infancy were noted for their beauty, and as babes captured many prizes at baby shows. It was just after they had been awarded first honors at such an exhibition that the President and Miss Roosevelt saw them. They were enraptured with them, and both kissed them.

Miss Roosevelt was so charmed with the little girls and impressed by their names that she wrote them down in her notebook. That she has not forgotten them is evidenced by the invitation which they now have.

The girls have lost none of their beauty in the years that have intervened. They are dainty and beautiful of face and figure, and so much resemble each other that few aside from their parents can tell them apart. They are perfect blondes, with blue eyes, fair complexion and sunny looks. They will probably not accept the invitation, owing to the modest circumstances of their parents.

OLD IRONSIDES' DAY.

House United in Tribute to the Frigate Constitution.

Washington, Jan. 19.—The House united in a tribute to the frigate Constitution on conveying yesterday.

The chaplain in his prayer eulogized the old ship, and then followed the presentation of a handsomely prepared petition, tributes by Mr. McCall of Massachusetts, Mr. Sulzer of New York and the adoption of a resolution from the committee on naval affairs to ascertain the annual cost of proper care for the old frigate.

The House later adopted the resolution directing the committee on naval affairs to make inquiry.

The petition is signed by the governor and all the living former governors of Massachusetts; by seventy mayors or former mayors of her cities; by thirty survivors of the crew who saved her from capture in 1861; by the descendants of her commanders in her three great battles, and by 50,000 citizens of Massachusetts.

NEW CLASH ON STATEHOOD.

Sensational Incident in the House Committee.

Washington, Jan. 19.—There was another stormy meeting of the House committee on territories yesterday. Chairman Hamilton and Representative Lloyd had an angry exchange of words over Mr. Hamilton's former position on statehood. Former Governor Murphy of Arizona declared it was useless to appear before the committee, as the verdict was signed and sealed against them. He denounced as false the charge of corruption made against the Arizona men who oppose joint statehood.

BONDSMEN PAY \$425,000.

State of Pennsylvania Has Now Received \$801,755.

Harrisburg, Pa., Jan. 19.—State Treasurer Matthes received notice Wednesday that a check for \$425,000 to his order had been deposited with a Pittsburgh trust company by the personal bondsmen of the defunct Enterprise National Bank of Allegheny. This makes \$801,755.37 paid to the state out of the \$1,226,000 of state funds on deposit in the bank at the time of its failure in October last.

THIRD DEGREE WORKED.

At Annual Installation of Bethel Lodge, I. O. O. F.

Bethel, Jan. 19.—Bethel Lodge, I. O. O. F., No. 35, held its annual installation service in their hall last night with B. D. C. M. of district No. 8, P. J. Putnam of Windsor, as installing officer, assisted by two past D. D. G. M.'s and a P. N. G., in the presence of a goodly attendance. The following is the officiating: N. G., J. Hall Long; V. G., Lynn Chadwick; recording secretary, Dr. L. W. Clough; financial secretary, O. P. Northrup; treasurer, Guy Hodgkins; trustee for three years, L. M. Heath; for two years, W. C. La Rock.

The third degree was administered to two candidates. The annual report to Dec. 1, 1905, revealed a membership of 62; initiated during the year, 5; received by card, 1; number past, grand, 5; care given for 111 weeks to and \$129.25 spent for the sick; funeral benefits, \$86.70; transient brothers received through the lodge from their own \$74; general expenses, \$208.29; leaving a balance on deposit of \$130.95.

NEARLY LOST HIS HAND.

Employee at Bethel Saw Mill Fell Against Saw.

Bethel, Jan. 19.—Roy Wheelock, a former Norwich university student, engaged at Cushing's saw mill in this village, had a narrow escape yesterday from losing his left hand. While at work taking away boards from the big circular saw he made a misstep, striking his hand upon the saw. Luckily only the small finger bone was saved lengthwise. At the time he had a leather mittens and cloth glove on which were torn off. Dr. Greene dressed the wound.

TILLMAN FAILS TO GET INQUIRY

His Resolution Laid On The Table By The Senate.

ONLY EIGHT VOTES FOR IT

Motion to Table Made by Senator Daniel of Virginia—Seven Democrats Vote With Tillman—Galleries Crowded in Anticipation.

Washington, D. C., Jan. 19.—Senator Tillman's resolution calling for an investigation by the Senate of the recent alleged maltreatment of Mrs. Minor Morris at the White House executive offices, was defeated in the Senate yesterday by a vote of 54 to 8.

On the introduction of the resolution the motion was made by Senator Daniel of Virginia to lay it on the table, and the motion prevailed by the above vote. Those senators voting against the motion to lay it on the table were Messrs. Blackburn of Kentucky, Frazier of Tennessee, Latimer of South Carolina, McCraw of Kentucky, McLaurin and Monday of Mississippi, Stone of Missouri and Tillman. Senator Hale of Maine did not vote, although in his seat, and not paired. Mr. Hale announced in his remarks Wednesday that he would not oppose the resolution which Mr. Tillman then proposed to introduce.

There was a great crowd in the Senate chamber when Mr. Tillman arose to offer his resolution. Much interest and suppressed excitement was apparent on the floor and in the galleries. The resolution provided for the appointment of a committee of five senators to investigate the Morris incident.

Mr. Tillman, in brief remarks, declared that it was not his original intention to offer the resolution, but that he had been taunted beyond endurance Wednesday by the senator from Maine, Mr. Hale, who had charged that the South Carolina senator's speech was prompted by his personal hatred of President Roosevelt.

Mr. Tillman denied that he had defamed the president, and that there was no basis for the assertion that he was inspired by personal enmity in this matter.

Senator Tillman said that two vital questions were contained in his resolution, the protection of the president and the protection of the rights and liberties of the people. He spoke only a few moments, and then Mr. Daniel moved to lay the resolution on the table. Mr. Lodge seconded the motion. This cut off all debate, and the vote was at once taken.

Both Senators Spooner and Lodge intended to reply to Senator Tillman. They will not speak of the Morris incident, but confine themselves to San Domingo. Senator Spooner will argue that the president has not put in effect the treaty with San Domingo which has not been ratified.

A WOMAN WITH A MISSION.

She Wants to See Secretary Taft and the President.

Washington, Jan. 19.—Mrs. Elizabeth M. Holmes of New York is detained at the house of detention here, having been arrested Wednesday night on a telegram signed William W. Holmes of No. 30 Wall street, New York. The telegram read: "Arrest my wife; believe me, I am a good man." When Mrs. Holmes was taken into custody she stated that she had some papers for President Roosevelt and Secretary Taft that would reveal startling things to them. She said that her business was in the interest of peace, but that she would not make it known until she had seen the president. If she could not see him, she said, she would see Secretary Taft. "I propose to unmask at least three traitors," said Mrs. Holmes. "I represent Mrs. Elizabeth Gramma, president of the National Puritan Congress and an exponent of women's rights. I intend seeing the president as soon as possible and will then return home. I will be accompanied by some influential person. I don't propose to be ejected from the White House as was Mrs. Morris."

POULTNEY BIGELOW WITNESS.

Refuses to Answer Questions of Senate Canal Committee.

Washington, Jan. 19.—Poultny Bigelow refused to give the names of certain persons from whom he received information at the hearing before the Senate Committee on Inter-oceanic Canals in the Panama Canal inquiry. He was excused temporarily, and on motion of Senator Knox, of Pennsylvania, the doors were closed and the committee went into executive session.

Mr. Bigelow appeared before the committee at 10:30 o'clock. He explained his visit to the Panama zone. He said he did not go representing any particular newspaper; that his visit was voluntary, and that after he returned, he wrote the article which resulted in his being called as a witness, and offered it to two periodicals, which declined it, and that it was afterward published in the *World*.

Don't Dose the Stomach in Nasal Catarrh. Breathe Hyomei.

No dangerous drugs or alcoholic concoctions are taken into the stomach when Hyomei is used. Breathe through the inhaler, the balsamic healing of Hyomei penetrates to the most remote cells of the nose and throat, and thus kills the catarrhal germs, heals the irritated mucous membrane, and gives complete and permanent cure.

Hyomei is the simplest, most pleasant and the only guaranteed cure for catarrh that has been discovered. Complete outfit, \$1.00; extra bottle, 50 cents. For sale by Rickett & Wells.

HAVE YOU WEAK LUNGS?

Do You Fear Consumption?

When we take into consideration the fact that one out of every seven in this climate dies of consumption, it is no wonder that it is feared by the people of Barre who have weak lungs and chronic colds and coughs.

A famous London physician has for years urged his patients, when the slightest tendency to consumption appeared, to take the best cod liver preparation they could find, and physicians everywhere have recognized that the cod's liver contains curative values for coughs, colds, bronchitis, consumption and all wasting diseases unequalled in medicine, but, unfortunately, few could take and derive any benefit from cod liver oil and emulsions on account of the indigestible grease which they contain.

Our local druggist, Mr. Wells of Rickett & Wells says, "We want every person in Barre to know the value of our new cod liver preparation, Vinol. It actually contains in a concentrated form all of the strengthening body-building elements of cod liver oil actually taken from fresh cod's livers, without a drop of oil or grease to upset the stomach and retard its work."

Therefore, where old-fashioned cod liver oil or emulsions would do good, Vinol will do far more good. We guarantee Vinol will improve the appetite, strengthen digestion, make rich, red blood, create strength, cure chronic coughs and colds and strengthen weak lungs. If Vinol fails to give satisfaction, we return your money without question." Rickett & Wells, Druggists.

The Independent. Referring to his charge that because of Government interference certain officers had refused to undertake the work, Senator Knox demanded to know the names of the engineers who had so refused. The witness named J. B. Freeman, of Boston, but refused to give other names. Mr. Knox made a motion that he be required to give names.

You may put me on bread and water or send me to Color by rail, but I'll never divulge the source of my information," said Bigelow.

The statement created a sensation and the committee went into executive session at once.

The committee took a recess at 12:30 o'clock until 2:30, having taken no action in the executive session on Bigelow's refusal to answer questions.

TO KEEP ALLEGIANCE.

Report Says Hungary and Italy Instruct Immigrants.

Washington, D. C., Jan. 19.—Hungary and Italy are arraigned as governments which inculcate their immigrants to this country with the idea that they must not assimilate with American citizens, customs or patriotism, but must treasure allegiance to the fatherland, in a report of Marcus Braun, an special immigration inspector. It was made public when the secretary of commerce and labor sent it to the House in response to the Sulzer resolution. The report says:

"It is freely admitted by people in authority abroad that they regard this country as adjuncts and colonies of their own. They populate our country with their people in a manner and with instructions and teachings which insure to the benefit of their home government and to our detriment. There are several methods by which these ends are accomplished: literature that savors of home patriotism, banners and flags, priests, school teachers, lawyers, and others are sent here to spread and keep alive the patriotic spirit of their fatherland in the minds of these colonists."

Mr. Braun cites the fact that \$30,000,000 was sent last year from the United States to Austria-Hungary alone from these immigrants. "Not a single promise which the new Hungarian immigration law guaranteed has been kept. I experienced the bewildering spectacle," Mr. Braun says, "of hundreds of agents licensed by the Hungarian government carrying on an almost unheard of campaign to get immigrants in direct and open violation of their laws."

Speaking of Armenians, he says they have invented a scheme whereby they can stay in Turkey and defy Turkish laws. This consists of a large number of Armenians emigrating to the United States, and as soon as they have earned sufficient money for their need, they return supplied with American citizenship papers, under which they continually engage in conspiracies against the Turkish government."

WITHOUT BRUTALITY.

Meriwether Wanted to Show He Hated Good-Naturedly.

Annapolis, Md., Jan. 19.—The trial of Midshipman Minor Meriwether, Jr., of Lafayette, La., on the charge of hazing was continued yesterday.

Meriwether has handed in his resignation from the service, and hopes that it will be accepted and that he will be allowed to leave the navy. He is not pleading guilty, but to bring out evidence to show that he hated in a good natured way and without brutality, and this has been done.

His counsel says he is also anxious for the public to understand that all his offenses were committed prior to his fight with Branch, in which the latter received fatal injuries.

The court will take up the case of Midshipman Chester A. Blochmann of St. Charles, Mo., another member of third class, as soon as the Meriwether trial is concluded. The charge of hazing in Blochmann's case is supported by six specifications, alleging the offenses to have been committed during September.

N. Y. Senators in Bad Way Physically.

Washington, D. C., Jan. 19.—Both the New York senators in a bad way physically, and neither has been in his seat since the reassembling of Congress.

Senator Dewey returned to Washington a few days ago, but has not been to the Capitol at all. He is accompanied by his niece, Anna Dewey Paulding, who has in a way taken charge of him during his illness and will not permit anyone to see him.

Senator Platt has been at Virginia Hot Springs for several weeks. He went there in the hope of recovering the use of his legs. Lately the senator has been unable to walk without assistance. His mind is as vigorous as ever and his health is good.

Senator Platt is very impatient at suggestions that he use a wheel chair, but if the reports from Hot Springs be true, it is not impossible that he may have to be assisted to the Senate by some such method of conveyance.

RIDICULE MOST EFFECTIVE

Town Topics' Instruction To Its Correspondents.

"FADS" BROUGHT \$150,000

Admits Editorial Work for Colonel Mann — Very Much Remarkable Evidence in the Hapgood Libel Suit.

New York, Jan. 19.—It can be stated with good authority that proceedings will be undertaken to oust Judge Joseph M. Deuel from his place on the bench of the court of special sessions; also to bring to book Col. W. D. Mann for actions of which he has been accused as editor of Town Topics.

Judge Deuel continued to testify yesterday at the trial of the criminal libel suit he brought against Norman Hapgood, editor of Collier's Weekly, who wrote an editorial criticizing him for being a judge and an editor of Town Topics at the same time. A paper was handed to the witness, which was said by Justice Deuel to be instructions sent to correspondents of the Town Topics. The heading was "Some Hints to Correspondents." The paper was read by Mr. Osborne, it said:

"Remember that ridicule is more effective than abuse. Remember to pick out the interesting features. Remember that Town Topics will pay more liberally than the daily papers for items or send me to Color by rail, but I'll never divulge the source of my information," said Bigelow.

A bonus, the circular set forth, would be paid to anyone sending in an item "worthy" of leading the section of Town Topics signed by "The Saunterer." The correspondents were warned not to treat of persons other than those of unquestioned social prominence. The "Fads and Fancies" enterprise was planned in 1901, said Justice Deuel.

"You collected from subscribers, in the end, between \$155,000 and \$160,000, didn't you?" was asked. The justice said he did not know, but finally estimated the collections at \$150,000 at least. The justice was asked if it were true that the canvasser was ordered to report to him the names of persons refusing to subscribe to Town Topics. The reply was that he did not recall such an order.

Mr. Osborne, after asking him if he wished to change his answer, produced a letter in which the justice said to Wooster: "I wish you would keep me informed as to everyone who positively rejects the matter."

In the same epistle to Wooster, the justice commiserated with the canvasser on his failure to secure a subscription from Dr. W. Seward Webb.

"Isn't it a fact that the people who refused to subscribe to 'Fads and Fancies' were abused in Town Topics?" inquired Mr. Osborne.

"Not that I know of," was the reply.

Mr. Osborne held up a set of reproductions of a page of Town Topics, containing an item abusing Dr. Webb, published shortly after the director's refusal to subscribe to "Fads and Fancies." The pages were handed to the jurymen, and the lawyers, for Mr. Osborne and District Attorney Jerome agreed that such statements ought not to be read aloud in court.

"Mr. Hapgood," said Mr. Osborne, turning to his client, "haven't you a big, long list of the persons who were approached in connection with 'Fads and Fancies'?" A bulky, typewritten document was produced by the defendant editor. Justice Deuel was asked to say if the list was correct.

One part of the list, the witness admitted, looked as if it contained remarks made by him. He was not sure of this, however. Lists of persons to be sought as subscribers he said, had been prepared. That he remembered distinctly. Names had been suggested by himself, by Col. Mann and by Mr. Wooster. Finally, he said, he had no doubt that the list was correct.

R. T. Wilson was another non-subscriber mentioned. The witness, although admitting that the name was on the list of "persons to be sought," said he did not know about Mr. Wilson's refusal. Mr. Osborne, after showing the name to have been scratched out on the list, called the attention of the jury to a published item complimentary to Mr. Wilson. This paragraph, too, appeared in Town Topics during the early stages of "Fads and Fancies" epoch.

The next name was that of Cornelius Vanderbilt, another non-subscriber. "Do you know he was approached?" was asked.

"I have an indistinct recollection that it was reported back that Mr. Vanderbilt was solicited, and that he refused," said the witness. The jury took time to read a Town Topics paragraph aimed at Mr. Vanderbilt.

Eugene Higgins' name, one of those scratched out, was cited by Mr. Osborne, and the jury again read an abusive item. Justice Deuel, when asked what was the object in the canvassers reporting the names of those refusing to subscribe for "Fads and Fancies," replied:

A CARD.

We, the undersigned, do hereby agree to refund the money on a 50-cent bottle of Greene's Warranted Syrup of Tar, if it fails to cure your cough or cold. We also guarantee a 25-cent bottle to prove satisfactory or money refunded.

RED CROSS PHARMACY, E. A. DROWN, C. H. KENDRICK & CO., D. F. DAVIS, F. A. J. MURPHY, W. H. MILLS & CO., MULLISTER BROS., D. C. HOWARD, J. W. PARMENTER, J. A. CUMMING.

We want to be in your HEARTS when you are in need of

WEDDING STATIONERY

SOCIAL ENGRAVING

N. J. ROBERTS,
124 No. Main St.,
Barre.

that it might have been for the purpose of appealing to the person "another way." He declared, however, that only politeness was required of the agents.

There was a card catalogue in the office of Town Topics, but he said he knew of no plan for distinguishing between those refusing and those accepting, or between those whose families had been "treated well" by the paper, and those who had not been "handled" so considerably.

Returning to the list of names, Mr. Osborne asked if "Mr. Baker of Baltimore" refused to subscribe to "Fads." The witness said that he did not know. The intimation that "refusers" were abused in Town Topics, as a result of their refusals, was again denied by Mr. Deuel. But Mr. Osborne presented to the jury another reproduced paragraph. This item, contrary to the adopted rule, was read aloud. It referred to a young relative of Mr. Baker as "billionaire" and "cooling in public parks." To the effect that "refusers" were abused in Town Topics, as a result of their refusals, was again denied by Mr. Deuel. But Mr. Osborne presented to the jury another reproduced paragraph. This item, contrary to the adopted rule, was read aloud. It referred to a young relative of Mr. Baker as "billionaire" and "cooling in public parks." To the effect that "refusers" were abused in Town Topics, as a result of their refusals, was again denied by Mr. Deuel. But Mr. Osborne presented to the jury another reproduced paragraph. This item, contrary to the adopted rule, was read aloud. It referred to a young relative of Mr. Baker as "billionaire" and "cooling in public parks." To the effect that "refusers" were abused in Town Topics, as a result of their refusals, was again denied by Mr. Deuel. But Mr. Osborne presented to the jury another reproduced paragraph. This item, contrary to the adopted rule, was read aloud. It referred to a young relative of Mr. Baker as "billionaire" and "cooling in public parks." To the effect that "refusers" were abused in Town Topics, as a result of their refusals, was again denied by Mr. Deuel. But Mr. Osborne presented to the jury another reproduced paragraph. This item, contrary to the adopted rule, was read aloud. It referred to a young relative of Mr. Baker as "billionaire" and "cooling in public parks." To the effect that "refusers" were abused in Town Topics, as a result of their refusals, was again denied by Mr. Deuel. But Mr. Osborne presented to the jury another reproduced paragraph. This item, contrary to the adopted rule, was read aloud. It referred to a young relative of Mr. Baker as "billionaire" and "cooling in public parks." To the effect that "refusers" were abused in Town Topics, as a result of their refusals, was again denied by Mr. Deuel. But Mr. Osborne presented to the jury another reproduced paragraph. This item, contrary to the adopted rule, was read aloud. It referred to a young relative of Mr. Baker as "billionaire" and "cooling in public parks." To the effect that "refusers" were abused in Town Topics, as a result of their refusals, was again denied by Mr. Deuel. But Mr. Osborne presented to the jury another reproduced paragraph. This item, contrary to the adopted rule, was read aloud. It referred to a young relative of Mr. Baker as "billionaire" and "cooling in public parks." To the effect that "refusers" were abused in Town Topics, as a result of their refusals, was again denied by Mr. Deuel. But Mr. Osborne presented to the jury another reproduced paragraph. This item, contrary to the adopted rule, was read aloud. It referred to a young relative of Mr. Baker as "billionaire" and "cooling in public parks." To the effect that "refusers" were abused in Town Topics, as a result of their refusals, was again denied by Mr. Deuel. But Mr. Osborne presented to the jury another reproduced paragraph. This item, contrary to the adopted rule, was read aloud. It referred to a young relative of Mr. Baker as "billionaire" and "cooling in public parks." To the effect that "refusers" were abused in Town Topics, as a result of their refusals, was again denied by Mr. Deuel. But Mr. Osborne presented to the jury another reproduced paragraph. This item, contrary to the adopted rule, was read aloud. It referred to a young relative of Mr. Baker as "billionaire" and "cooling in public parks." To the effect that "refusers" were abused in Town Topics, as a result of their refusals, was again denied by Mr. Deuel. But Mr. Osborne presented to the jury another reproduced paragraph. This item, contrary to the adopted rule, was read aloud. It referred to a young relative of Mr. Baker as "billionaire" and "cooling in public parks." To the effect that "refusers" were abused in Town Topics, as a result of their refusals, was again denied by Mr. Deuel. But Mr. Osborne presented to the jury another reproduced paragraph. This item, contrary to the adopted rule, was read aloud. It referred to a young relative of Mr. Baker as "billionaire" and "cooling in public parks." To the effect that "refusers" were abused in Town Topics, as a result of their refusals, was again denied by Mr. Deuel. But Mr. Osborne presented to the jury another reproduced paragraph. This item, contrary to the adopted rule, was read aloud. It referred to a young relative of Mr. Baker as "billionaire" and "cooling in public parks." To the effect that "refusers" were abused in Town Topics, as a result of their refusals, was again denied by Mr. Deuel. But Mr. Osborne presented to the jury another reproduced paragraph. This item, contrary to the adopted rule, was read aloud. It referred to a young relative of Mr. Baker as "billionaire" and "cooling in public parks." To the effect that "refusers" were abused in Town Topics, as a result of their refusals, was again denied by Mr. Deuel. But Mr. Osborne presented to the jury another reproduced paragraph. This item, contrary to the adopted rule, was read aloud. It referred to a young relative of Mr. Baker as "billionaire" and "cooling in public parks." To the effect that "refusers" were abused in Town Topics, as a result of their refusals, was again denied by Mr. Deuel. But Mr. Osborne presented to the jury another reproduced paragraph. This item, contrary to the adopted rule, was read aloud. It referred to a young relative of Mr. Baker as "billionaire" and "cooling in public parks." To the effect that "refusers" were abused in Town Topics, as a result of their refusals, was again denied by Mr. Deuel. But Mr. Osborne presented to the jury another reproduced paragraph. This item, contrary to the adopted rule, was read aloud. It referred to a young relative of Mr. Baker as "billionaire" and "cooling in public parks." To the effect that "refusers" were abused in Town Topics, as a result of their refusals, was again denied by Mr. Deuel. But Mr. Osborne presented to the jury another reproduced paragraph. This item, contrary to the adopted rule, was read aloud. It referred to a young relative of Mr. Baker as "billionaire" and "cooling in public parks." To the effect that "refusers" were abused in Town Topics, as a result of their refusals, was again denied by Mr. Deuel. But Mr. Osborne presented to the jury another reproduced paragraph. This item, contrary to the adopted rule, was read aloud. It referred to a young relative of Mr. Baker as "billionaire" and "cooling in public parks." To the effect that "refusers" were abused in Town Topics, as a result of their refusals, was again denied by Mr. Deuel. But Mr. Osborne presented to the jury another reproduced paragraph. This item, contrary to the adopted rule, was read aloud. It referred to a young relative of Mr. Baker as "billionaire" and "cooling in public parks." To the effect that "refusers" were abused in Town Topics, as a result of their refusals, was again denied by Mr. Deuel. But Mr. Osborne presented to the jury another reproduced paragraph. This item, contrary to the adopted rule, was read aloud. It referred to a young relative of Mr. Baker as "billionaire" and "cooling in public parks." To the effect that "refusers" were abused in Town Topics, as a result of their refusals, was again denied by Mr. Deuel. But Mr. Osborne presented to the jury another reproduced paragraph. This item, contrary to the adopted rule, was read aloud. It referred to a young relative of Mr. Baker as "billionaire" and "cooling in public parks." To the effect that "refusers" were abused in Town Topics, as a result of their refusals, was again denied by Mr. Deuel. But Mr. Osborne presented to the jury another reproduced paragraph. This item, contrary to the adopted rule, was read aloud. It referred to a young relative of Mr. Baker as "billionaire" and "cooling in public parks." To the effect that "refusers" were abused in Town Topics, as a result of their refusals, was again denied by Mr. Deuel. But Mr. Osborne presented to the jury another reproduced paragraph. This item, contrary to the adopted rule, was read aloud. It referred to a young relative of Mr. Baker as "billionaire" and "cooling in public parks." To the effect that "refusers" were abused in Town Topics, as a result of their refusals, was again denied by Mr. Deuel. But Mr. Osborne presented to the jury another reproduced paragraph. This item, contrary to the adopted rule, was read aloud. It referred to a young relative of Mr. Baker as "billionaire" and "cooling in public parks." To the effect that "refusers" were abused in Town Topics, as a result of their refusals, was again denied by Mr. Deuel. But Mr. Osborne presented to the jury another reproduced paragraph. This item, contrary to the adopted rule, was read aloud. It referred to a young relative of Mr. Baker as "billionaire" and "cooling in public parks." To the effect that "refusers" were abused in Town Topics, as a result of their refusals, was again denied by Mr. Deuel. But Mr. Osborne presented to the jury another reproduced paragraph. This item, contrary to the adopted rule, was read aloud. It referred to a young relative of Mr. Baker as "billionaire" and "cooling in public parks." To the effect that "refusers" were abused in Town Topics, as a result of their refusals, was again denied by Mr. Deuel. But Mr. Osborne presented to the jury another reproduced paragraph. This item, contrary to the adopted rule, was read aloud. It referred to a young relative of Mr. Baker as "billionaire" and "cooling in public parks." To the effect that "refusers" were abused in Town Topics, as a result of their refusals, was again denied by Mr. Deuel. But Mr. Osborne presented to the jury another reproduced paragraph. This item, contrary to the adopted rule, was read aloud. It referred to a young relative of Mr. Baker as "billionaire" and "cooling in public parks." To the effect that "refusers" were abused in Town Topics, as a result of their refusals, was again denied by Mr. Deuel. But Mr. Osborne presented to the jury another reproduced paragraph. This item, contrary to the adopted rule, was read aloud. It referred to a young relative of Mr. Baker as "billionaire" and "cooling in public parks." To the effect that "refusers" were abused in Town Topics, as a result of their refusals, was again denied by Mr. Deuel. But Mr. Osborne presented to the jury another reproduced paragraph. This item, contrary to the adopted rule, was read aloud. It referred to a young relative of Mr. Baker as "billionaire" and "cooling in public parks." To the effect that "refusers" were abused in Town Topics, as a result of their refusals, was again denied by Mr. Deuel. But Mr. Osborne presented to the jury another reproduced paragraph. This item, contrary to the adopted rule, was read aloud. It referred to a young relative of Mr. Baker as "billionaire" and "cooling in public parks." To the effect that "refusers" were abused in Town Topics, as a result of their refusals, was again denied by Mr. Deuel. But Mr. Osborne presented to the jury another reproduced paragraph. This item, contrary to the adopted rule, was read aloud. It referred to a young relative of Mr. Baker as "billionaire" and "cooling in public parks." To the effect that "refusers" were abused in Town Topics, as a result of their refusals, was again denied by Mr. Deuel. But Mr. Osborne presented to the jury another reproduced paragraph. This item, contrary to the adopted rule, was read aloud. It referred to a young relative of Mr. Baker as "billionaire" and "cooling in public parks." To the effect that "refusers" were abused in Town Topics, as a result of their refusals, was again denied by Mr. Deuel. But Mr. Osborne presented to the jury another reproduced paragraph. This item, contrary to the adopted rule, was read aloud. It referred to a young relative of Mr. Baker as "billionaire" and "cooling in public parks." To the effect that "refusers" were abused in Town Topics, as a result of their refusals, was again denied by Mr. Deuel. But Mr. Osborne presented to the jury another reproduced paragraph. This item, contrary to the adopted rule, was read aloud. It referred to a young relative of Mr. Baker as "billionaire" and "cooling in public parks." To the effect that "refusers" were abused in Town Topics, as a result of their refusals, was again denied by Mr. Deuel. But Mr. Osborne presented to the jury another reproduced paragraph. This item, contrary to the adopted rule, was read aloud. It referred to a young relative of Mr. Baker as "billionaire" and "cooling in public parks." To the effect that "refusers" were abused in Town Topics, as a result of their refusals, was again denied by Mr. Deuel. But Mr. Osborne presented to the jury another reproduced paragraph. This item, contrary to the adopted rule, was read aloud. It referred to a young relative of Mr. Baker as "billionaire" and "cooling in public parks." To the effect that "refusers" were abused in Town Topics, as a result of their refusals, was again denied by Mr. Deuel. But Mr. Osborne presented to the jury another reproduced paragraph. This item, contrary to the adopted rule, was read aloud. It referred to a young relative of Mr. Baker as "billionaire" and "cooling in public parks." To the effect that "refusers" were abused in Town Topics, as a result of their refusals, was again denied by Mr. Deuel. But Mr. Osborne presented to the jury another reproduced paragraph. This item, contrary to the adopted rule, was read aloud. It referred to a young relative of Mr. Baker as "billionaire" and "cooling in public parks." To the effect that "refusers" were abused in Town Topics, as a result of their refusals, was again denied by Mr. Deuel. But Mr. Osborne presented to the jury another reproduced paragraph. This item, contrary to the adopted rule, was read aloud. It referred to a young relative of Mr. Baker as "billionaire" and "cooling in public parks." To the effect that "refusers" were abused in Town Topics, as a result of their refusals, was again denied by Mr. Deuel. But Mr. Osborne presented to the jury another reproduced paragraph. This item, contrary to the adopted rule, was read aloud. It referred to a young relative of Mr. Baker as "billionaire" and "cooling in public parks." To the effect that "refusers" were abused in Town Topics, as a result of their refusals, was again denied by Mr. Deuel. But Mr. Osborne presented to the jury another reproduced paragraph. This item, contrary to the adopted rule, was read aloud. It referred to a young relative of Mr. Baker as "billionaire" and "cooling in public parks." To the effect that "refusers" were abused in Town Topics, as a result of their refusals, was again denied by Mr. Deuel. But Mr. Osborne presented to the jury another reproduced paragraph. This item, contrary to the adopted rule, was read aloud. It referred to a young relative of Mr. Baker as "billionaire" and "cooling in public parks." To the effect that "refusers" were abused in Town Topics, as a result of their refusals, was again denied by Mr. Deuel. But Mr. Osborne presented to the jury another reproduced paragraph. This item, contrary to the adopted rule, was read aloud. It referred to a young relative of Mr. Baker as "billionaire" and "cooling in public parks." To the effect that "refusers" were abused in Town Topics, as a result of their refusals, was again denied by Mr. Deuel. But Mr. Osborne presented to the jury another reproduced paragraph. This item, contrary to the adopted rule, was read aloud. It referred to a young relative of Mr. Baker as "billionaire" and "cooling in public parks." To the effect that "refusers" were abused in Town Topics, as a result of their refusals, was again denied by Mr. Deuel. But Mr. Osborne presented to the jury another reproduced paragraph. This item, contrary to the adopted rule, was read aloud. It referred to a young relative of Mr. Baker as "billionaire" and "cooling in public parks." To the effect that "refusers" were abused in Town Topics, as a result of their refusals, was again denied by Mr. Deuel. But Mr. Osborne presented to the jury another reproduced paragraph. This item,